

## CHAPTER 4. CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION

### Preparers and Contributors

---

The Forest Service consulted the following individuals, Federal, State, and local agencies, tribes, and non-Forest Service persons during the development of this environmental assessment:

#### *Interdisciplinary Team (IDT) Members:*

**Lori Bailey, Botanist** – Bachelor of Science in Forest Biology, Utah State University, 1991. Fifteen years of Forest Service experience at the ranger district level in Utah and Oregon, specializing in silviculture, planning, and botany.

**Shannon Brit, Range Conservationist** --Bachelor of Science in Rangeland Management, Oregon State University, 1991. Bachelor of Science Agricultural Education Washington State University, 1986. Fifteen years of Forest Service and Natural Resource Conservation Service experience in Oregon and Washington specializing in rangeland management/soils at the ranger district and field office level.

**Noelle Colby-Rotell, Writer/Editor**—Bachelor of Arts in Communication, Eastern Washington University, 1990. Master of Arts in Communication, Washington State University, 1992. Doctoral student in Communication Psychology. Six years experience as a Public Relations Director in manufacturing.

**Kim Conlee, Project Engineer** – Associate of Art in Civil Engineering Technology, Blue Mountain Community College, 1974. Twenty-nine years of Forest Service experience at the district and forest level in Idaho and Oregon, specializing in management of forest transportation system through project planning, implementation, and monitoring.

**Ryan Falk, Planner, Acting District Ranger** – Bachelor of Science in Forest Management, Utah State University, 1985. Twenty-two years of Forest Service experience at the district level in Oregon, specializing in environmental planning.

**Mike Feiger, Wildlife Biologist** – Bachelor of Science in Wildlife Resources, University of Idaho, 1995. Ten years of Forest Service experience at the ranger district level in Oregon, specializing in fisheries and wildlife habitat inventory and management.

**Susan Harries, Forestry Technician, Writer/Editor** – Bachelor of Science in Forest Management, Colorado State University, 1980. Twenty-five years of Forest Service experience at the ranger district level in Colorado and Oregon, specializing in timber sale preparation.

**Ken Kincaid, Supervisory Forester** – Bachelor of Science in Forestry Resources Management, University of Idaho, 1979. Thirty years of Forest Service experience at the ranger district level in Oregon and Idaho, specializing in silviculture.

**Rick Larson, Planner**– Bachelor of Science in Forestry, Oklahoma State University, 1975. Twenty-seven years of Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management experience in Oregon, Washington, and Montana, specializing in forest management/planning and administration of lands/minerals programs at the ranger district and forest level.

**Alan Miller, Fish Biologist** – Bachelor of Science in Fish Science, Oregon State University, 1989. Master of Science, Fish and Water Resources, Oregon State University, 1997. Five years of Forest Service experience at the district and forest level in Oregon, specializing in fisheries management and hydrology.

**Glenn Miller, Sale Administrator, Logging Systems/Economics** – Associate Degree in Forestry, Clatsop Community College, 1967. Thirty-six years of Forest Service experience at the ranger district level in Oregon, specializing in timber sale preparation and timber sale administration.

**Mary Roberston, Archaeologist** – Bachelor of Arts, University of Montana, 1981, Master of Arts/Anthropology, Idaho State University, 2000. Twelve years of Forest Service and National Park Service experience in eastern Oregon, Idaho, New Mexico, California, Wyoming, Arizona, Utah, and Nevada, specializing in cultural resource management.

**Don Rotell, Archaeologist** – Bachelor of Arts in Anthropology, Washington State University, 1992. Ten years of Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management experience in eastern Oregon, specializing in cultural resource management.

**Kristine Shull, Wildlife Biologist** - Bachelor of Science in Biological Science, South Dakota State University, 1989. Fifteen years of Forest Service experience at the ranger district level in Oregon, specializing in wildlife habitat inventory and management.

**Jim Soupir, Hydrological/Soils Technician** – Associate of Applied Science, University of Minnesota-Crookston, 1979. Twenty-four years of Forest Service experience at the district level in Oregon and Idaho, specializing in timber sale preparation, silviculture, and hydrology/soils/fisheries.

**Anthony Starkovich, Fire/Fuels Specialist**– Bachelor of Science in Forest Resource Management, University of Idaho, 1994. 15 years of Forest Service experience at the district level in Oregon and Washington, specializing in fire management and forest restoration.

**Eric Werner, Forester** – Bachelor of Science in Forest Resource Management, University of Idaho, 1996. Five years of Forest Service experience at the district level in Oregon, specializing in timber sale preparation and silviculture.

**Shannon Winegar, Recreation Specialist**– Bachelor of Science in Nursing, Eastern Oregon University, 2003 and Associate of Liberal Studies, Eastern Oregon University, 2001. Nineteen years of Forest Service experience at the ranger district level in Oregon specializing in forest recreation management/planning and administration programs.

## Contributors

---

The Forest Service consulted the following individuals, Federal, State, and local agencies, tribes, and non-Forest Service persons during the development of this environmental impact statement:

### **MANAGEMENT AND REVIEW:**

Larry Bright - Threatened and Endangered Species Coordinator  
Greg Whipple - GIS  
Dan Bennett - GIS

Terry Corning-Sevey - GIS  
Steve Cossette - Forest NEPA Coordinator  
Jennifer Harris - Public Affairs, Tribal Relations  
William McArthur – Former Forest Silviculturist  
Sarah Bush - Fish Biologist  
Brooks Smith - Prairie City District Ranger  
Roger Ogden - Regional Appeals Coordinator

***FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL AGENCIES:***

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration-Fisheries (NOAA)  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife/Ken Rutherford  
Oregon Department of Forestry/Russ Lane  
Grant County/Judge Dennis Reynolds

***TRIBES:***

Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation  
Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation  
Burns Paiute Tribe

## **Public Involvement Summary**

---

The analysis of the Monument Fire Recovery Project began in October 2002. A Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (NOI) was published in the Federal Register on March 24, 2003. The project was also listed quarterly in the Schedule of Proposed Activities (SOPA) starting in the Summer/Fall of 2002 and continuing through the Fall/Winter of 2003/2004. A fire recovery open house was held at the Federal Building in John Day on February 13, 2003, and on February 14, 2003, the agency mailed a scoping letter seeking public comment to approximately 130 groups, other agencies, and individuals who had previously shown interest in Malheur National Forest projects.

In response to these scoping efforts, written comments were received from 13 interested parties. In addition to comments supporting the project, the District received comments reflecting concerns related to potential adverse impacts on soils, wildlife and aquatic habitat, and economics. Public comments were used in the development of the reasonable range of alternatives and the identification of the significant issues.

In July 2003 the Monument Fire Recovery Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement was published by the Malheur National Forest, and a Notice of Availability (NOA) was published in the Federal Register by the Environmental Protection Agency on August 3, 2003. A news release announcing the availability of the DEIS was also published in the Blue Mountain Eagle on August 13, 2003. The DEIS was mailed to over 80 individuals, organizations, or agencies, as well as the Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs, the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, and the Burns Paiute Tribe. The DEIS was made available to the public for a 45-day review and comment period which ended on September 23, 2003. Eleven timely comments were received in response to the DEIS (see Table 4-1). Information received from these sources of public involvement was used by the Interdisciplinary Team (IDT) to help refine and develop this final EIS.

The IDT reviewed the 11 letters with comments on the DEIS and addressed each substantive comment provided. The 11 letters are disclosed in Response to Comments section in Appendix F of the FEIS. Comments received on the DEIS were assigned a number to track them through the review and response process. Table 4-1 lists those who commented and the tracking number assigned to their letter.

**Table 4-1: Individuals Who Commented on the Monument DEIS**

Letter Number	Commentor
1	Walt Gentis-Malheur Lumber Company
2	Linda Driskill
3	Doug Heiken-Oregon Natural Resources Council
4	Ken Evans-KLE Enterprises/Malheur Timber Operators, Inc
5	Erin Uhlemann-Northwest Environmental Defense Center
6	Asante Riverwind-League of Wilderness Defenders/Blue Mtn. Biodiversity Project
7	Josh Laughlin-Cascadia Wildlands Project
8	.Dan Becker
9	Dan Bishop-Prairie Wood Products.
10	Preston Sleeper-USDI Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance
11	Judith Leckrone – US EPA, Region 10

## Distribution of the Final Environmental Impact Statement

In addition to the public involvement described above, copies have been sent to the following Federal agencies, federally recognized tribes, State and local governments, and organizations representing a wide range of views regarding the project. This environmental impact statement has been distributed to individuals who commented on the DEIS or requested a copy of the document.

### Individuals

Linda	Driskill
William	Butler
Conrad	Bateman
Dan	Joyce
Geraldine	Joyce
Tony	Joyce
Mark	Joyce

## Organizations, Industry, and Local Agencies

Dan Bishop..... Prairie Wood Products  
 Karen Coulter..... League of Wilderness Defenders/Blue Mtn. Biodiversity Project  
 Ken Evans ..... KLE Enterprises/Malheur Timber Operators, Inc.  
 Walt Gentis ..... Malheur Lumber Company  
 D. R. Johnson..... D. R. Johnson Lumber Company  
 Doug Heiken ..... Oregon Natural Resources Council  
 Josh Laughlin ..... Cascadia Wildlands Project  
 Asante Riverwind..... League of Wilderness Defenders/Blue Mtn. Biodiversity Project  
 Erin Uhlemann ..... Northwest Environmental Defense Center  
 Thomas Partin ..... American Forest Resource Council  
 Bryan Bird..... Sierra Club National Forest Campaign

## Oregon State Agencies

Department of Fish and Wildlife/Habitat Division/Dave McAllister  
 Planning and Development Section/Parks and Recreation Department  
 Water Resources Department/Rick Bastasch  
 Division of State Lands/John Lilly  
 Department of Geology and Mineral Industries/Dennis Olmstead  
 Department of Environmental Quality  
 Department of Land Conservation and Development/Jim Knight  
 Rural Development Section/Bill Campbell  
 Executive Department/State Economist/Paul Warner  
 Oregon Department of Forestry

## Tribal Contacts

Burns Paiute Tribe/Tribal Chairman/Dean Adams  
 Burns Paiute Tribe/Cultural Res. Program/Charisse Snapp  
 Conf. Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation/Chairman, Board of Trustees/Gary  
 Burke  
 Conf. Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation/Program Mgr., Env. Planning and Rights  
 Protection/Rick George  
 Conf. Tribes of Warm Springs/Tribal Council Chairman/Olney Patt, Jr.  
 Conf. Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation/Princ. Investigator/THPO, Cult. Res.  
 Prog. Mgr./Manfred Jaehnig  
 Conf. Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation/Natural Res. Policy Analyst/Harold  
 Shepard  
 Conf. Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation/Jim Webster  
 Conf. Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation/Heritage/Shawn Steinmetz  
 Conf. Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation/Cultural Res. Program Mgr./Sally Bird  
 Conf. Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation /Fara Ann Currim  
 Conf. Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation /Fish & Wildlife Mgr./Terry Luther  
 Conf. Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation /Clay Penhollow  
 Conf. Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation /Cultural Heritage Committee

## **Federal Agencies**

### *U.S. Department of Agriculture*

National Agricultural Library (3)  
OPA Publication Stockroom  
Director, Environmental Coordination (Chief 1950) (3)  
USDA Forest Service, Region 6/Environmental Coordination  
Policy and Planning Division  
Natural Resource Conservation Service/ Environmental Coordinator of Ecological  
Sciences Division  
USDA APHIS TDP/EAD

### *U.S. Department of Commerce*

Northwest Regional Unit, (Portland, OR) of NOAA Fisheries

### *U.S. Department of the Interior*

Director, Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance (9)

### *U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)*

Office of Environmental Review (5)  
Region 10 EIS Review Coordinator, Seattle (2)

### *U. S. Department of Defense*

U. S. Army Engineer, North Pacific, CENPD  
Naval Oceanography Division, U.S. Naval Observatory

### *U. S. Department of Energy*

Office of Environmental Compliance/Director  
Northwest Power Planning Council

### *U. S. Department of Transportation*

Federal Aviation Administration, Northwest Region  
Federal Highway Administration, Western Resource Center  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission/Advisor on Environmental Quality  
Surface Transportation Board/Chief, Energy and Environment

### *Advisory Council on Historic Preservation*

Western Office of Review

General Services Administration/Office of Planning & Analysis

**Federal, State, and Local Officials**

Senator Gordon Smith

Senator Ron Wyden

Representative Greg Walden

Governor Ted Kulongoski

Governor's Forest Advisor

State Representative Ted Ferrioli

Grant County Judge Dennis Reynolds

Blank Page

## GLOSSARY

### A

**Access** — The mode by which activities are pursued and how well users can travel to or within the setting.

**Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP)** — An independent Federal agency that provides a forum for influencing Federal activities, programs, and policies as they affect historic resources.

**Aquatic (and riparian) health** — Aquatic and riparian habitats that support animal and plant communities that can adapt to environmental changes and follow natural evolutionary and biogeographic processes.

Healthy aquatic and riparian systems are resilient and recover rapidly from natural and human disturbance. They are stable and sustainable; they maintain their organization and autonomy over time and are resilient to stress. In a healthy aquatic/riparian system, there is a high degree of connectivity from headwaters to downstream reaches, from streams to floodplains, and from subsurface to surface. Floods can spread into floodplains, and fish and wildlife populations can move freely throughout the watershed. Healthy aquatic and riparian ecosystems also maintain long-term soil productivity. Mineral and energy cycles continue without loss of efficiency.

**Archaeological site** — A place that has the potential to yield information important to scientific or scholarly studies of history or prehistory.

**Area of Potential Effect (APE)** — An Area of Potential Effect is the area that contains cultural resources that may reasonably be expected to be impacted by an undertaking. Effects may be physical, visual, auditory, or socio-cultural (King 1998).

### B

**Biophysical environment or Bioenvironment** — The interaction of climatic factors (moisture and temperature) and soil conditions on the expression of vegetation types and associated habitats. Climatic and soil conditions that result in similar successional pathways, disturbance processes, and associated vegetative/habitat characteristics are referred to as a biophysical environment.

### C

**Canopy** — In a forest, the branches from the upper-most layer of trees; on rangeland, the vertical projection downward of the aerial portion of vegetation.

**Canopy closure** — The amount of ground surface shaded by tree canopies as seen from above. Used to describe how open or dense a stand of trees is, often expressed in 10% increments.

**Channel (stream)** — The deepest part of a stream or riverbed through which the main current of water flows.

**Closure** — A road management term indicating the road cannot be used by motorized traffic. This limitation can be accomplished by regulation, barricade, or blockage devices. The road can be available for emergency use or permitted use, such as firewood cutting, during dry periods.

**Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)** — A codification of the general and permanent rules published in the Federal Register by the Executive departments and agencies of the Federal Government.

**Competition** — An interaction that occurs when two or more individuals make demands of the same resources that are in short supply.

**Connectivity** — The arrangement of habitats that allows organisms and ecological processes to move across the landscape; patches of similar habitats are either close together or linked by corridors of appropriate vegetation; the opposite of a fragmented condition.

**Corridor (landscape)** — Landscape elements that connect similar patches of habitat through an area with different characteristics. For example, streamside vegetation may create a corridor of willows and hardwoods between meadows or through a forest.

**Cover** — (1) Trees, shrubs, rocks, or other landscape features that allow an animal to partly or fully conceal itself. (2) The area of ground covered by plants of one or more species.

**Cover type** — A vegetation classification depicting a genus, species, group of species, or life form of tree, shrub, grass, or sedge; in effect, the present vegetation of an area.

**Crown** — The part of a tree containing live foliage; treetops.

**Cryptocrystalline silicates (CCS, chert, flint)** — Rock with texture consisting of crystals that is too small to be recognized and distinguished under an ordinary microscope.

**D**

**Decommissioning** — Activities to permanently remove a road from the transportation system. The management objective of the activities is to restore the hydrologic function. These activities include, as needed: the removal of drainage structures such as culverts, re-contouring cut and fill slopes, subsoiling, and re-vegetating the old road beds.

**Density (stand)** — The number of trees growing in a given area; usually expressed in terms of trees per acre.

**Diameter at breast height (DBH)** — Diameter of a tree in inches, measured at 4 ½ feet above the root collar on the uphill side of the tree.

**Disturbance** — Refers to events that alter the structure, composition, or function of terrestrial or aquatic habitats. Natural disturbances include, among others, drought, floods, wind, fires, wildlife grazing, and insects and diseases. Human-caused disturbances include, among others, actions such as timber harvest, livestock grazing, roads, and the introduction of exotic species.

**Down wood** — A tree or part of a tree that is dead and laying on the ground.

**Duff** — The partially decomposed organic material of the forest floor that lies beneath freshly fallen leaves, needles, twigs, stems, bark, and fruit.

**Detrimental soil impacts:** - A Forest Plan Standard limits the amount of detrimental soil impacts to 20% of a unit.

**Detrimental Compaction** – An increase in soil bulk density of 20 percent, or more, over the undisturbed level for volcanic ash soils. For all other soils it is an increase in soil bulk density of 15 percent, or more, over the undisturbed level. Assess changes in compaction by sampling bulk density, macro porosity, or penetration resistance in the zone in which change in relatively long term and that is the principal root development zone. This zone is commonly between 4 to 12 inches in depth.

**Detrimental Displacement** – The removal of more than 50 percent of the topsoil or humus enriched horizon from an area of 100 square feet, or more, which is at least 5 feet in width.

**Detrimental Puddling** – When the depth of ruts or imprints is 6 inches or more. Soil deformation and loss of structure are observable and usually bulk density is increased.

**Detrimental Surface Erosion** – Visual evidence of soil loss in areas greater than 100 square feet, rills or gullies and/or water quality degradation from sediment or nutrient enrichment.

**Detrimental Burned Soil** – Top layer of mineral soil has been significantly changed in color, oxidized to a reddish color, and the next one-half inch blackened from organic matter charring by heat conducted through the top layer. The detrimentally burned soil standard applies to an area greater than 100 square feet, which is at least 5 feet in width.

**E**

**Ecosystem** — A complete, interacting system of living organisms and the land and water that make up their environment; the home places of all living things, including humans.

**Endangered species** — Species listed under the Endangered Species Act, that are likely to become extinct within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of their range.

**Environment** — The combination of external physical, biological, social, and cultural conditions affecting the growth and development of organisms and the nature of an individual or community.

**Erosion** — The wearing away of the land surface by running water, wind, ice, gravity, or other geological activities; can be accelerated or intensified by human activities that reduce the stability of slopes or soils.

**Ethnography** — A descriptive, non-interpretive, non-comparable study of another culture.

**Even-aged stand** — Stand of trees in which all the trees are within one year of having been established, or have a narrow range of age classes.

**F**

**Fire-dependent systems** — Forests, grasslands, and other ecosystems historically composed of species of plants that evolved with and are maintained by fire regimes.

**Fire-intolerant species** — Species of plants that do not grow well with or die from the effects of too much fire. Generally these are shade-tolerant species.

**Fire regime** — The characteristics of fire in a given ecosystem, such as the frequency, predictability, intensity, and seasonality of fire.

**Fire return interval** — The average time between fires in a given area.

**Fire-tolerant species** — Species of plants that can withstand certain frequency and intensity of fire. Generally these are shade-intolerant species.

**Floodplain** — The portion of river valley or level lowland next to streams, which is covered with water when the river or stream overflows its banks at flood stage.

**Forage** — Vegetation (both woody and non-woody) eaten by animals, especially grazing and browsing animals.

**Forbs** — Broad-leafed plants; includes plants that commonly are called weeds or wildflowers.

**Forest health** — The condition in which forest ecosystems sustain their complexity, diversity, resiliency, and productivity to provide for specified human needs and values. It is a useful way to communicate about the current condition of the forest, especially with regard to resiliency, a part of forest health that describes the ability of the ecosystem to respond to disturbances. Forest health and resiliency can be described, in part, by species composition, density, and structure.

**Forest Plan (Forest Land and Resource Management Plan)** — A document that guides natural resource management and establishes standards and guidelines for a national forest; required by the National Forest Management Act.

**Fragmentation (habitat)** — The break-up of a large land area (such as a forest) into smaller patches isolated by areas converted to a different land type; the opposite of connectivity.

**Fuel (fire)** — Dry, dead parts of trees, shrubs, and other vegetation that can burn readily.

**Fuel ladder** — Vegetative structures or conditions such as low-growing tree branches, shrubs, or smaller trees that allow fire to move vertically from a surface fire to a crown fire.

**Fuel load** — The dry weight of combustible materials per unit area; usually expressed as tons per acre.

## G

**Ground fire** — A fire that burns the organic material in the soil layer, and the decayed material or peat below the ground surface.

## H

**Habitat** — A place that provides seasonal or year-round food, water, shelter, and other environmental conditions for an organism, community, or population of plants or animals.

**Habitat type** — A group of plant communities having similar habitat relationships.

**Harvest** — (1) Felling and removal of trees from the forest. (2) Removal of game animals or fish from a population, typically by hunting or fishing.

**Headwaters** — Beginning of a watershed; un-branched tributaries of a stream.

**Historical Range of Variability (HRV)** — The natural fluctuation of ecological and physical processes and functions that would have occurred during a specified period of time. Refers to the range of conditions that are likely to have occurred prior to settlement of the project area by Euro-Americans (approximately the mid 1800s), which would have varied within certain limits over time. HRV is discussed in this document only as a reference point, to establish a baseline set of conditions for which sufficient scientific or historical information is available to enable comparison to current conditions.

**Historic Property** — As defined in the National Historic Preservation Act, any “district, site, building, structure, or object included in or eligible for inclusion to the National Register of Historic Places, including artifacts, records, and material remains related to such a property or resource.”

**Historic site** — A type of cultural resource associated with the historic-era that may possess archaeological values; or may be valued in light of its ability to convey its association with important historic events, people, or architectural/engineering techniques. Historic sites usually must be 50 years of age or more.

**Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC)** — The 2- to 8-digit classification dividing the levels of hydrology in the United States. The largest HUC is a region, divided hierarchically into subregions, accounting units, cataloging units, watersheds, and subwatersheds. (Watersheds are fifth-field HUCs; subwatersheds are sixth-field HUCs.)

**Hunter-gatherers** — A term for members of small-scale mobile or semi-sedentary societies, whose subsistence is dependent upon hunting game and gathering wild plants.

**Hydrophobic Soil** - Soil that does not readily absorb water. Hydrophobic soil is highly erodible. It is sometimes formed during severe fire on coarse textured soils. Hydrophobic soil usually returns to a non-hydrophobic condition after one or two winters.

**I**

**Indicator species** — A species that is presumed to be sensitive to habitat changes. Population changes of indicator species are believed to best indicate the effects of land management activities.

**Intermittent stream** — A stream that flows only at certain times of the year, when it receives water from other streams or from surface sources such as melting snow.

**L**

**Landscape** — All the natural features such as grass-lands, hills, forest, and water, which distinguish one part of the earth's surface from another part; usually that portion of land which the eye can comprehend in a single view, including all its natural characteristics.

**Large down wood** — Logs on the forest floor with a large end diameter of at least 21 inches.

**Large woody debris (LWD)** — Pieces of wood that are of a large enough size to affect stream channel morphology.

**Late and Old Structural (LOS) Forest** — (a) *Single stratum with large tree (SSWL) forest* refers to mature forest characterized by a single canopy layer consisting of large or old trees. Understory trees are often absent, or present in randomly spaced patches. SSWL generally consists of widely spaced, shade-intolerant species, such as ponderosa pine and western larch, adapted to a low-severity, high-frequency fire regime. (b) *Multi-stratum with large tree (MSWL) forest* refers to mature forest characterized by two or more canopy layers with generally large or old trees in the upper canopy. Understory trees are also usually present, as a result of a lack of frequent disturbance to the understory. MSWL can include both shade-tolerant and shade-intolerant species, and is generally adapted to a mixed fire regime of both high-severity and low-severity fires. Other characteristics of old forests include: variability in tree size; increasing numbers of snags and coarse woody debris; increasing appearance of decadence, such as broken tops, sparse crowns, and decay in roots and stems; canopy gaps and understory patchiness; and old trees relative to the site and species.

**Lithic Scatter** — A type of archaeological site that consists of surface or buried concentrations of stone waste flakes and tools (Keyser et. al. 1988).

**Litter** — The uppermost layer of organic debris on the soil surface, which is essentially the freshly fallen or slightly decomposed vegetation material such as stems, leaves, twigs, and fruits.

**M**

**Management direction** — A statement of goals and objectives, management prescriptions, and associated standards and guidelines for attaining them.

**N**

**National Register of Historic Places (NRHP)** — A list of significant cultural resources that is maintained by the National Park Service. A "significant" site is a site that has been evaluated as eligible for inclusion to the National Register of Historic Places, or its eligibility status is undetermined.

**National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969** — "An act to establish a national policy for the environment, to provide for the establishment of a Council on Environmental Quality, and for other purposes."

**O**

**Obsidian Hydration** — A process in which a volcanic glass absorbs moisture in ever-thickening bands over time. Measurements of hydration bands on archaeological obsidian can indicate how long a surface has been exposed. Obsidian hydration analysis is usually considered a relative dating technique.

**Ongoing actions** — Those actions that have been implemented, or have contracts awarded or permits issued.

**On-site recreation development** — The degree and appropriateness of recreation facilities provided within the setting.

**P**

**Prescribed fire** — Intentional use of fire under specified conditions to achieve specific management objectives.

**Prescription** — A management pathway to achieve a desired objective(s).

**Productivity** — (1) *Soil productivity*: the capacity of a soil to produce plant growth, due to the soil's chemical, physical, and biological properties (such as depth, temperature, water-holding capacity, and mineral, nutrient, and organic matter content). (2) *Vegetative productivity*: the rate of production of vegetation within a given period. (3) *General*: the innate capacity of an environment to support plant and animal life over time.

**Proposed Action** — A proposal by a federal agency to authorize, recommend, or implement an action.

**R**

**Recreation Opportunity Spectrum (ROS)** — The Forest Service developed the Recreation Opportunity Spectrum (ROS) system to help identify, quantify, and describe the variety of recreational settings available in National Forests. The ROS system provides a framework for planning and managing recreation resources. The ROS settings are classified on a scale ranging from primitive to urban. Seven elements are used to determine where the setting belongs on the scale:

**Recreation Visitor Day (RVD)** — One visitor day equals 12 hours (one person for 12 hours, or 12 people for 1 hour, or any combination thereof).

**Reforestation** — Treatments or activities that help to regenerate stands of trees after disturbances such as harvest or wildfire. Typically, reforestation activities include preparing soil, controlling pests, and planting seeds or seedlings.

**Regeneration** — The process of establishing new plant seedlings, whether by natural means or artificial measures (planting).

**Rehabilitate** — To repair and protect certain aspects of a system so that essential structures and functions are recovered, even though the overall system may not be exactly as it was before.

**Remoteness** — The extent to which individuals perceive themselves removed from the sights and sounds of human activity.

**Resilient, resilience, resiliency** — (1) The ability of a system to respond to disturbances. Resiliency is one of the properties that enable the system to persist in many different states or successional stages. (2) In human communities, refers to the ability of a community to respond to externally-induced changes such as larger economic or social forces.

**Restoration** — Holistic actions taken to modify an ecosystem to achieve desired, healthy, and functioning conditions and processes; generally refers to the process of enabling the system to resume acting or continue to act following disturbance, as if the disturbances were absent. Restoration management activities can be either active (such as control of noxious weeds, thinning of over-dense stands of trees, or redistributing roads) or more passive (more restrictive, hands-off management direction that is primarily conservation-oriented).

**Riparian area** — Area with distinctive soil and vegetation between a stream or other body of water and the adjacent upland; includes wetlands and those portions of floodplains and valley bottoms that support riparian vegetation.

**Riparian Habitat Conservation Area (RHCA)** — Portions of watersheds where riparian-dependent resources receive primary emphasis, and management activities are subject to specific standards and guidelines. Riparian Habitat Conservation Areas include traditional riparian corridors, wetlands, intermittent streams, and other areas that help maintain the integrity of aquatic ecosystems by (1) influencing the delivery of coarse sediment, organic matter, and woody debris to streams; (2) providing root strength for channel stability; (3) providing shading for streams; and (4) protecting water quality.

**Roaded Modified** — A natural environment substantially modified, particularly by vegetation and landform alterations. There is strong evidence of roads and /or highways. Frequency of contact is low to moderate.

**Roaded Natural** — A natural-appearing environment with moderate evidence of the sights and sounds of humans. Such evidence usually harmonizes with the natural environment. Interaction between users may be moderate to high, with evidence of other users prevalent. Motorized use is allowed.

**Rockshelter** — A small cave or overhang of rock that affords some degree of protection from the elements, either as a permanent camp or temporary location of activity.

**S**

**Scoping** — The early stages of preparation of an environmental impact statement/ environmental assessment; used to solicit public opinion, receive comments and suggestions, and determine the issues to be considered in the development and analysis of a range of alternatives. Scoping may involve public meetings, telephone conversations, mailings, letters, or other contacts.

**Sediment** — Solid materials, both mineral and organic, in suspension or transported by water, gravity, ice, or air; may be moved and deposited away from their original position and eventually will settle to the bottom.

**Semi-Primitive Motorized** — A natural or natural-appearing environment of moderate to large size. Interaction between users is low, but there is often evidence of other users. The opportunity exists to use motorized equipment.

**Semi-Primitive Non-Motorized** — A natural or natural-appearing environment of moderate to large size. Concentration of users is low, but there is often evidence of other users. Use of local roads for recreational purposes is not allowed.

**Sensitive species** — Species identified by a Forest Service regional forester or BLM state director for which population viability is a concern either (a) because of significant current or predicted downward trends in population numbers or density, or (b) because of significant current or predicted downward trends in habitat capability that would reduce a species' existing distribution.

**Seral** — Refers to the stages that plant communities go through during succession. Developmental stages have characteristic structure and plant species composition. *Early seral* refers to plants that are present soon after a disturbance or at the beginning of a new successional process (such as seedling or sapling growth stages in a forest); *mid-seral* in a forest would refer to pole or medium sawtimber growth stages; *late or old seral* refers to plants present during a later stage of plant community succession (such as mature and old forest stages).

**Seral stage** — The developmental phase of a forest stand or rangeland with characteristic structure and plant species composition.

**Shade-intolerant species** — Species of plants that do not grow well in or die from the effects of too much shade. Generally these are fire-tolerant species.

**Shade-tolerant species** — Species of plants that can develop and grow in the shade of other plants. Generally these are fire-intolerant species.

**Silviculture** — The practice of manipulating the establishment, composition, structure, growth, and rate of succession of forests to accomplish specific objectives.

**Site** — A specific location of an activity or project, such as a campground, a lake, or a stand of trees to be harvested.

**Snag** — A standing dead tree, usually larger than five feet tall and larger than six inches in diameter at breast height. Snags are important as habitat for a variety of wildlife species and their prey.

**Social encounters** — The degree of solitude or social opportunities provided.

**Soil** — The earth material that has been so modified and acted upon by physical, chemical, and biological agents that it will support rooted plants.

**Soil disturbance** — Describes effects of the alternatives on soil productivity.

**Stand** — A group of trees in a specific area, that is sufficiently alike in composition, age, arrangement, and condition so as to be distinguishable from the forest in adjoining areas.

**Stand density** — Refers to the number of trees growing in a given area; usually expressed in trees per acre.

**Stand structure** — The size and arrangement, both vertically and horizontally, of vegetation. Forested vegetation is classified into 7 different structural stages:

Stand Initiation – When land is occupied by trees following a stand-replacing disturbance.

Stem Exclusion Open Canopy – Forested areas where the occurrence of new trees is predominantly limited by moisture.

Stem Exclusion Closed Canopy – Forested areas where the occurrence of new trees is predominately limited by light.

Understory Reinitiation – When a second generation of trees is established under an older, typically seral, overstory.

Young-Forest Multistory – Stand development resulting from frequent harvest or lethal disturbance to the overstory.

Old-Forest Multistory – Forested areas lacking frequent disturbance to understory vegetation.

Old-Forest Single-Story – Forested areas resulting from frequent non-lethal prescribed or natural underburning, or other management.

The abundance and distribution of these forest structures provides the basis for evaluation of the historic range of variability (HRV) of structural conditions, providing insight to the interaction of disturbance processes and associated structural and compositional conditions of forested landscapes.

**State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)** — The agency that represents the interests of the state in historic preservation and cultural resources. Federal land managers are required by the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, to consult with the SHPO during land management planning.

**Structure** — The size and arrangement, both vertically and horizontally, of vegetation.

**Structural stage** — A stage of development of a vegetation community, that is classified on the dominant processes of growth, development, competition, and mortality.

**Subwatershed** — A drainage area of approximately 20,000 acres, equivalent to a 6th-field Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC). Hierarchically, subwatersheds (6th-field HUC) are contained within a watershed (5th-field HUC), which in turn is contained within a subbasin (4th-field HUC).

## T

**Terrestrial** — Pertaining to the land.

**Terrestrial communities** — Groups of cover types with similar moisture and temperature regimes, elevational gradients, structures, and use by vertebrate wildlife species.

**Thermal cover** — Cover used by animals to protect them against weather.

**Thinning** — An operation to remove stems from a forest for the purpose of reducing fuel, maintaining stand vigor, regulating stand density/composition, or for other resource benefits. Although thinning can result in commercial products, thinning generally refers to non-commercial operations.

**Threatened species** — Species listed under the Endangered Species Act, that are likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of their range.

## U

**Underburn** — To burn by a surface fire that can consume ground vegetation and ladder fuels.

**Understory** — Plants that grow beneath the canopy of other plants. Usually refers to grasses, forbs, and low shrubs under a tree or shrub canopy.

**Uneven-aged stand** — Stand of trees in which there are considerable differences in the ages of individual trees.

**Upland** — The portion of the landscape above the valley floor or stream.

## V

**Viability** — In general, viability means the ability of a population of a plant or animal species to persist for some specified time into the future. For planning purposes, a *viable population* is one that has the estimated numbers and distribution of reproductive individuals, to ensure that its continued existence will be well-distributed in the planning area.

**Visitor impacts** — The degree of impact on both the attributes of the setting and other visitors within the setting.

**Visitor management** — The degree and appropriateness of how visitor actions are managed and serviced.

**Visual quality** — The degree of apparent modification of the natural landscape.

## W

**Watershed** — (1) The region draining into a river, river system, or body of water. (2) A watershed also refers specifically to a drainage area of approximately 50,000 to 100,000 acres, which is equivalent to a 5th-field Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC). Hierarchically, subwatersheds (6th-field HUC) are contained within a watershed (5th-field HUC), which in turn is contained within a subbasin (4th-field HUC).

**Wetland** — In general, an area soaked by surface or groundwater frequently enough to support vegetation that requires saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction; generally includes swamps, marshes, springs, seeps, bogs, wet meadows, mudflats, natural ponds, and other similar areas. Legally, federal agencies define wetlands as possessing three essential characteristics: (1) hydrophytic vegetation, (2) hydric soils, and (3) wetland hydrology. The three technical characteristics specified are mandatory and must all be met for an area to be identified as a wetland. *Hydrophytic vegetation* is defined as plant life growing in water, soil, or on a substrate that is at least periodically deficient in oxygen as a result of excessive water content. *Hydric soils* are defined as soils that are saturated, flooded, or ponded long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic (without oxygen) conditions in the upper part of the soil profile. Generally, for soil to be considered hydric, it must be saturated at temperatures above freezing for at least seven days. *Wetland hydrology* is defined as permanent or periodic inundation, or soil saturation to the surface, at least seasonally.

**Wildfire** — A human-caused or naturally-caused fire that does not meet land management objectives.



## REFERENCES

### References Cited

- Amaranthus, M.P., D.S. Parris and D.A. Perry. 1989. Decaying Logs as Moisture Reservoirs after Drought and Wildfire. Proceedings from Watershed 1989: A Conference on the Stewardship of Soil, Air and Water Resources. U.S. Department of Agriculture: Forest Service, Alaska Region.
- Amaranthus, M.P.; Molina R.; and Trappe J. M. 1990. Long-Term Forest Productivity and the Living Soil. Chapter 3. In D.A. Perry, eds. *Maintaining Long-Term Forest Productivity in the Pacific Northwest Forest Ecosystem*. Timber Press. Portland, Oregon 97208.
- Bailor, T. 1993. The Awake Cultural Resource Inventory Survey Report. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Malheur National Forest.
- Beckley, T. 1998. The (F)utility of "Community Sustainability" in an Inter-dependent World. *Ecoforestry*: 34-40.
- Beechie, T.J. and T.H. Sibley. 1997. Relationships Between Channel Characteristics, Woody Debris, and Fish Habitat in Northeastern Washington Streams. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 126: 217-229.
- Bengston, D.N; D.P. Fan and D.N. Celarier. 1999. A New Approach to Monitoring the Social Environment for Natural Resource Management and Policy: The Case of U.S. National Forest Benefits and Values. *Journal of Environmental Management* 56: 181-193.
- Bergstrom, J.C. and J.B. Loomis. 1999. Economic Dimensions of Ecosystem Management. In Cordell, H.K. and J.C. Bergstrom, eds. *Integrating Social Sciences in Ecosystem Management*. Sagamore Press.
- Beschta, R.L. and others. 1995. *Wildfire and Salvage Logging: Recommendations for Ecologically Sound Post-Fire Salvage Logging and Other Post-Fire Treatments on Federal Lands in the West*. Oregon State University.
- Booth, D.B. and C. R. Jackson. 1997. Urbanization of Aquatic Systems: Degradation Thresholds, Stormwater Detention, and the Limits of Mitigation. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 22(5): 1-19.
- Botkin, D.B. 1990. *Discordant Harmonies: A New Ecology for the Twenty-First Century*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bowers, W.L., P.A. Dupee, M. L. Hanson and R.R. Perkins. 1993. [Unpublished Report]. Bull Trout Population Summary Malheur River Basin. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Hines, Oregon.
- Brady, N.C. 1974. *The Nature and Properties of Soils*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company, Inc. 341 pp.
- Bright, A.D.,K.H. Cordell, A.P. Hoover and M.A. Tarrant. 1999. *Guidelines for Conducting Social Assessments Within the Human Dimension Framework*. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.
- Brooks, P. K. Urban and G. Yates. 1991. *Sensitive Plants of the Malheur, Ochoco, Umatilla, and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests*. General Technical Report, R6-

- WAW-TP-040-92. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region.
- Brown, J.K., E.D. Reinhard, and K.A. Kramer. 2003. Coarse Woody Debris: Managing Benefits and Fire Hazard in the Recovering Forest. Rocky Mountain Research Station.
- Brown, J.K., E.D. Reinhardt and K.A. Kramer. 2001. Coarse Woody Debris and Succession in the Recovering Forest. Internal Memorandum, USDA Forest Service.
- Brown, J.K., K. Snell and D.L. Bunnell. 1977. Handbook for Predicting Slash Weight of Western Conifers. General Technical Report, INT-37. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 35pp.
- Brown, J.K. and J.K. Smith, eds. 2000. Wildland Fire in Ecosystems: Effects of Fire on Flora. General Technical Report, RMRS-GTR-42-vol. 2. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. 257 pp.
- Brunson, M.W. and J.J. Kennedy. 1995. Redefining "Multiple Use": Agency Response to Changing Social Values. In: Knight, R.L. and S.F. Bates, eds. A New Century for Natural Resource Management. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.
- Buchanan, D.V. and S.V. Gregory. 1997. Development of Water Temperature Standards to Protect and Restore Habitat for Bull Trout and Other Cold Water Species in Oregon. In W.C. Mackay, M.K. Brewinand and M. Monita eds, Proceedings of the Friends of the Bull Trout Conference. Calgary, Alberta pp. 119-126.
- Buchanan, D.V., M. L. Hanson and R. M. Hooten. 1997. Status of Oregon's Bull Trout. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. Portland, Oregon.
- Buckman, R.C., W.E. Hosford, and P.A. Dupee. 1992. Malheur River Bull Trout Investigations. In Howell, P.J. and D.V. Buchanan, eds. Proceedings of the Gearheart Mountain Bull Trout Workshop. Oregon Chapter of the American Fisheries Society, Corvallis, Oregon. Pp. 45-57.
- Bull, E.L. and R. Holthausen. 1993. Habitat Use and Management of Pileated Woodpeckers in Northeastern Oregon. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 57 (2): 335-345.
- Burns Paiute Tribe. 2001. Comment Letter on the Silvies Canyon Watershed Restoration Project Draft EIS. Natural Resource Programs. Burns, Oregon.
- Burns Paiute Tribe Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2000. Evaluation of the life history of native salmonids in the Malheur River basin (BPA project 9701900/9701901): FY1999 annual report. Burns, OR.
- Burtchard, G.C. 1998. Environment, Prehistory, and Archaeology of John Day Fossil Beds National Monument. General Technical Report, Seattle Washington: United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Seattle. Prepared by Honolulu: International Archaeological Research Institute, Inc Blue Mountain Region, North Central Oregon.
- Caton, E. 1998. Effects of Fire and Salvage Logging on a Cavity-Nesting Bird Community in Fire and Wildlife in the Pacific Northwest: Research, Policy, and Management. *The Wildlife Society Symposium, Northwest Secion.* p. 78.
- Cinnamon, S.K., N.C. Johnson, G. Super, J. Nelson and D. Loomis. 1999. Shifting Human Use and Expected Demands on Natural Resources. In Sexton, W.T, A.J.

- Malk, R.C. Szaro and N.C. Johnson, eds. Ecological Stewardship: A Common Reference for Ecosystem Management. 3: 327-343.
- Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission. 2000. Wy-Kan-Ush-Mi Wa-Kish-Wit (Spirit of the Salmon). The Columbia River Anadromous Fish Restoration Plan of the Nez Perce, Umatilla, Warm Springs, and Yakima Tribes.  
[www.critfc.org/text/TRP.HTM](http://www.critfc.org/text/TRP.HTM)
- Committee of Scientists. 1999. Sustaining the People's Lands: Recommendations for Stewardship of the National Forests and Grasslands into the Next Century. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Agriculture.
- Cordova, J.J. 1995. Streamside Forests, Channel Constraint, Large Woody Debris Characteristics, and Pool Morphology in Low Order Streams, Blue Mountains, Oregon. M.S. Thesis. Corvallis, Oregon: Oregon State University.
- Couture, M.D. 1986. Foraging Behavior of a Contemporary Northern Great Basin Population. *Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology* 8: 150-160.
- Crone, L.K. and R.W. Haynes. 1999. Revised Estimates for Direct-Effect Recreational Jobs in the Interior Columbia River Basin. General Technical Report, PNW-GTR-483. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region.
- Crone, L.K., R.W. Haynes, and N.E. Reyna. 1999. Different Perspectives on Economic Base. General Technical Report, PNW-RN-538. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region.
- Dambacher, J.M., K.K. Jones [In Press]. Benchmarks and Patterns of Abundance of Redband Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* ssp.) in Oregon Streams. Proceedings of the 1996 Redband Trout Workshop, Malheur Field Station, Oregon, Washington.
- Danks, C. and L. Jungwirth. 1999. Community-Based Socioeconomic Assessment and Monitoring of Activities Related to National Forest Management. Hayfork, California: Watershed Research and Training Center, Working Paper Series.
- Davis, Hibbitts, & McCaig, Inc. 2001. What Do Oregonians Value about Their Forests? Salem, Oregon: Oregon Department of Forestry.
- Dean Runyan Associates. 2001. Oregon Travel Impacts. Vol. 1991-2000. Prepared for the Oregon Tourism Commission. Salem, Oregon.
- DeBano, L.F., D.G. Neary and P.F. Folliott. 1998. Fire's Effects on Ecosystems. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Driver, B.L., D. Dustin, T. Baltic, G. Elsner and G. Peterson. 1996. Nature and the Human Spirit: Overview. In *Nature and the Human Spirit: Toward an Expanded Land Management Ethic*. State College, Pennsylvania: Venture Publishing, Inc.
- Ehringer, P.F. & Associates. 2001. Columbia Basin Socio-Economic Assessment – Phase II Forest Products Data: 1989-2000. Idaho Rural Partnership, Montana Department of Commerce, Oregon Economic & Community Development Department, Washington Department of Community, Trade, and Economic Development.
- Elliot, W.J., D.L. Scheele and D.E. Hall. 2000. The Forest Service WEPP Interfaces. ASAE Paper No. 005021. Presented at the 2000 ASAE Annual International Meeting, July 9-12, 2000. Milwaukee, Wisconsin. St. Joseph, Michigan: American Society of Agricultural Engineers. 8p.
- Emery, M.R. 1999. Social Values of Specialty Forest Products to Rural Communities. In S. Josiah, ed. Proceedings of the North American Conference on Enterprise

Development Through Agroforestry: Farming the Forest for Specialty Products. St.

Paul, Minnesota: University of Minnesota. 11 pp.

- Environmental Assessment for the Interim Strategies for Managing Fish-Producing Watersheds in Eastern Oregon and Washington, Idaho, Western Montana, and Portions of Nevada (INFISH). 1995.
- Environmental Protection Agency. 2003. EPA Region 10 guidance for Pacific Northwest State and Tribal Temperature Water Quality Standards. General Technical Report, EPA 910-B-03-002. Seattle, Washington: Region 10 Office of Water.
- Everett, R. 1995. Review of Beschta Document. Internal Memorandum, USDA Forest Service.
- Ewert, A.W. 1999. Managing for the New Forest Visitor: The Impact of Changing Demographic Variables. In: Aley, J, W.R. Burch, B. Conover and D. Field, eds. Ecosystem Management: Adaptive Strategies for Natural Resources Organizations in the Twenty-First Century. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: Taylor and Francis. pp. 25-32.
- Fight, D.R., L.E. Kruger, C. Hansen-Murray, A. Holden and D. Bays. 2000. Understanding Human Uses and Values in Watershed Analysis. General Technical Report, GTR-PNW-489. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region.
- Fire Effect Information System (FEIS). 2002. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (2002, April). Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. Available: <http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/> [01 April 2002].
- Flather, C.H.; and T.W. Hoekstra, 1989. An Analysis of the Wildlife and Fish Situation in the United States: 1989-2040. General Technical Report, RM-178. Fort Collins, Colorado: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Floyd, D.W., ed. 1999. Forest of Discord. Bethesda, Maryland: Society of American Foresters.
- Fraley, J.J. and B.B. Shepard. 1989. Life History, Ecology and Population Status of Migratory Bull Trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*) in the Flathead Lake river System, Montana. Northwest Science 63(4): 133-143.
- Galliano, S.J. and G. Loeffler. 1999. Place Assessment: How People Define Ecosystems. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.
- Garcia, M.T. 1999. Hispanic Perspectives and Values. In Driver, B.L, D. Dustin, T. Baltic, G. Elsner and G. Peterson, eds. Nature and the Human Spirit: Toward an Expanded Land Management Ethic. State College, Pennsylvania: Venture Publishing, Inc.
- Gilligan, J., M.D. Smith and D. Rogers. 1994. Birds of Oregon Status and Distribution. Portland Oregon: Cinclus Publications. 330pp.
- Goetz, F. 1989. Biology of the Bull Trout, *Salvelinus Confluentus*: A Literature Review. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Willamette National Forest.
- Graham, R.T, A.E. Harvey, T.B. Jain, and J.R. Tonn. 1999. The Effects of Thinning and Similar Stand Treatments on Fire Behavior in Western Forests. General

- Technical Report, PNW-GTR-463. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.
- Hadfield, J.S., R.W. Magelssen, R.W. 1996-2000. Wood Changes in Fire-Killed Eastern Washington Tree Species- First through Fifth Year Progress Reports. Wenatchee, WA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Wenatchee National Forest, Wenatchee Service Center.
- Hanson, M.L., R.C. Buckman, W.E. Hosford and others. 1990. Malheur River Basin Fish Management Plan. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Hines, Oregon.
- Hanzel, D.A. 1986. Seasonal Area and Depth Distribution of Cuthroat, Bull Trout (Dolly Varden) and Lake Trout in Flathead Lake. Progress Report, F-33-R-20, Job I-a. Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks, Kalispell, Montana.
- Harney County Chamber of Commerce. 1998-2000. Visitor Logs.
- Harris, C., G. Brown and B. McLaughlin. 1996. Rural Communities in the Inland Northwest: Characteristics of Small Towns in the Interior and Upper Columbia River Basins: An Assessment of the Past and Present. Final Report Parts 1 & 2 Submitted to the Interior Columbia River Basin Ecosystem Management Project. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service and United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management.
- Harvey, A.E., M.J. Larsen, and M.F. Jurgensen. 1979. Fire-decay: Interactive roles regulating wood accumulation and soil development in the Rocky Mountains.
- Haynes, R.W. and A.L. Horne. 1997. Economic Assessment of the Basin. In Quigley, T.M. and S.J. Arbelbide, eds. An Assessment of Ecosystem Components in the Interior Columbia Basin and Portions of the Klamath and Great basins: Volume IV. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station.
- Hemmingsen, A.R.B., B.L. Bellerud, S.L. Gunckel, and P.J. Howell. 2001. Bull Trout Life History, Genetics, Habitat Needs, and Limiting Factors in Central and Northeastern Oregon, 1998 Annual Report. Project Number 199405400, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.
- Heyerdahl, E.K. and J.K. Agee. 1996. Historical Fire Regimes of Four Sites in the Blue Mountains, Oregon and Washington. University of Washington: MA Thesis.
- Hitchcock, C.L.; A. Cronquist, M. Ownbey and J.W. Thompson. 1969. Vascular Plants of the Pacific Northwest, Parts 1-5. Seattle, Washington: University of Washington Press.
- Horne, A.L. and R.W. Haynes. 1999. Developing Measures of Socioeconomic Resiliency in the Interior Columbia Basin. General Technical Report, PNW-GTR-453. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station.
- Hovee, E.D. and Company. 1995. Eastside Ecosystem Management Project: Communities of Interest and Their Social Values. Walla Walla Washington: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. Eastside Ecosystem Management Project.
- Johnson, C. 1999. Participation Differences Among Social Groups. In Cordell, H.K., principal investigator. Outdoor Recreation in American Life: A National Assessment of Demand and Supply Trends. Champaign, Illinois: Sagamore Press. pp. 248-268.

- Johnson, C.G., Jr. 1998. Vegetation Response After Wildfires in National Forests of Northeastern Oregon. General Technical Report, R6-NR-ECOL-TP-06-98. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region.
- Johnson, C.G., Jr. and R.R. Clausnitzer. 1992. Plant Association of the Blue and Ochoco Mountains. R6-ERW-TP-036-92. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest.
- Keyser, J.D., T.L. Burge and D.M. Fleming. 1988. Management Strategy for Treatment of Lithic Scatter Sites. Studies in Cultural Resource Management No. 7. Oregon and Washington: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region and United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management.
- King, T.F. 1998. Cultural Resource Laws and Practice, An Introductory Guide. Alta Mira Press.
- Kline, J.D. 2001. Tourism and Natural Resource Management: A General Overview of Research and Issues. General Technical Report, PNW-GTR-506. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.
- Klock, G.O. 1975. Impact of Five Postfire Salvage Logging Systems on Soils and Vegetation. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 30(2): 78-81.
- Kohrman, E.B. 2003. Recovery Efforts 2002 Fires – Draft Environmental Impact Statement: Social and Economic Conditions. John Day, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Malheur National Forest. 42 pp.
- Kreisel, K.J. and S.J. Stein. 1998. Effects of Fire and Salvage Logging on a Cavity-Nesting Bird Community in Fire and Wildlife in the Pacific Northwest, Research, Policy, and Management. The Wildlife Society Symposium, Northwest Section. pp. 79-84.
- Laverty, L. and J. Williams. 2000. Protecting People and Sustaining Resources in Fire-Adapted Ecosystems: A Cohesive Strategy. The Forest Service Management Response to the General Accounting Office Report, GAO/RCED-99-65, October 13, 2000.
- LeVan, S.L. 1998. Biomass Utilization for Forest Health and Community Development. BioEnergy'98: Expanding BioEnergy Partnerships.  
[www.fpl.fs.fed.us/documnts/pdf1998/levan98a.pdf](http://www.fpl.fs.fed.us/documnts/pdf1998/levan98a.pdf)
- Linderman, C.A. 1992. [Unpublished] The Effects of Fire on Obsidian Artifacts: A Problem in Hydration Dating in a Woodland Environment. Eugene, Oregon: Senior Honors Paper, Department of Anthropology, University of Oregon.
- Lipsey, R. and P. Steiner. 1981. *Economics* (6<sup>th</sup> ed.). New York, New York: Harper and Row Publishers.
- Loomis, J.B. and R. Richardson. 2000. Economic Values of Protecting Roadless Areas in the United States. Washington, D.C.: The Wilderness Society and Heritage Forest Campaign.
- Ludlow, K, Recreation Staff. 2001. [Personal communication]. On file in the administrative record.
- Martin, A. 1985. Reproduction of Bull Trout. In D.D. MacDonald ed, Proceedings of the Flathead River Basin Bull Trout Biology and Population Dynamics Modeling Information Exchange. Fisheries Branch, British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Cranbrook, British Columbia. pp. 99-101.

- McArthur, W.L. 2002. Noxious Weeds. Specialist Report, Burned Area Emergency Rehabilitation, Monument Fire. John Day, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Malheur National Forest.
- McAvoy, L. and Lais, G. 1999. Hard-To-Define Values and Persons with Disabilities. In Driver, B.L., D. Dustin, T. Baltic, G. Elsner and G. Peterson. 1996. Nature and the Human Spirit: Toward an Expanded Land Management Ethic. State College, Pennsylvania: Venture Publishing, Inc.
- McConnell, L. 1991. Forest Service Desk Guide to Tribal Government Relations. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region.
- McGinnis, W.J., R.H. Phillips and K.P. Connaughton. 1996. County Portraits of Oregon and Northern California. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region.
- McGranahan, D.A. 1999. Natural Amenities Drive Rural Population Change. Agricultural Economic Report No. 781. Washington, D.C.: United States Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, Food and Rural Economics Division.
- Miller, M. 2000. RMRS-GTR-42-vol 2. "Wildland Fire in Ecosystems, Effects of Fire on Flora," Chapter 2: Fire Autoecology.
- Minshall, G.W., D.A. Andrews, J.T. Brock, C.T. Robinson, and D.E. Lawrence. 1990. Changes in Wild Trout Habitat Following a Forest Fire. In: F. Richardson and R.H. Hamre, eds. Wild Trout IV: Proceedings of the Symposium. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Moseley, C. and S. Shankle. 2001. Who Gets the Work? An Analysis of Contracting on National Forest Lands in the Pacific Northwest. College of Natural Resources and Environment, University of Florida.
- Murphy, M.L. and K.V. Koski. 1989. Input and Depletion of Woody Debris in Alaska Streams and Implications for Streamside Management. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 9:427-436.
- Nelson, J.R. 1985. Rare Plant Surveys: Techniques for Impact Assessment. Natural Areas Journal 5(3): 18-30.
- Niemi, E. and E. Whitelaw. 1999. Assessing Economic Tradeoffs in Forest Management. General Technical Report, PNW-GTR-403. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station.
- Northwest Portland Area Indian Health Board. 1999. Northwest Tribal Recruitment Projects. 1999. Burns Paiute Tribal Profile. [www.npaihb.org/profiles/burns.html](http://www.npaihb.org/profiles/burns.html)
- Novak, M.A., and R.G. White. 1990. Impact of a Fire and Flood on the Trout population of Beaver Creek, Upper Missouri Basin, Montana. In: Richardson, F. and R.H. Hamre, eds. Wild Trout IV: Proceedings of the Symposium. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.
- Olsen, D., J. Richards and D.R. Scott. 1991. Existence and Sport Values for Doubling the Size of Columbia River Basin Salmon and Steelhead Runs, Rivers 2(1): 44-56.
- Olson, D.L. 2000. Fire in Riparian Zones: a Comparison of Historical Fire Occurrence in Riparian and Upslope Forests in the Blue Mountains and Southern Cascades of Oregon. M.S. Thesis. University of Washington, Seattle, WA.
- Oregon Department of Tourism. 2001. A Study: The Economic Value of Scenic Byways. Presentation at Oregon Scenic Byways Workshop. Bend, Oregon: Scenic Byways Resource Center.

- Oregon Department of Transportation. 2001. Official State Map. Salem, Oregon.
- Oregon Employment Department. 1998. Hispanics in Oregon's Workforce. Salem, Oregon: Workforce Analysis.
- Oregon Employment Department. 2000. Oregon Employer Survey.
- Oregon Employment Department. 1999-2003. Oregon Labor Market Information System. [www.olmis.org](http://www.olmis.org)
- Oregon Employment Department. 2003. Eastern Oregon Labor Trends (March). Salem, Oregon. 11 pp.
- Oregon Employment Department. 2003a. Eastern Oregon Labor Trends. Salem, Oregon (April). 14 pp.
- Oregon Natural Heritage Program. 2001. Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Plants and Animals of Oregon. Portland, Oregon: Oregon Natural Heritage Program. 94 pp.
- Otani, W. and others. 1996. Bridging the Communication Gap: The APAEA Demonstration (video). Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.
- Parker, P.L. and T.F. King. 1998. Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Traditional Cultural Properties. National Register Bulletin 38. Washington D.C.: United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service, National Register of Historic Places.
- Parks, C.G. and C.L. Schmitt. 1997. Wild Edible Mushrooms in the Blue Mountains: Resource and Issues. General Technical Report, PNW-GTR-393. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. 22 pp.
- Perkins, R. 1999. Malheur River Bull Trout Population Status: 1999. ODFW Special Report, Southeastern Fisheries District, Ontario, Oregon.
- Perkins, R. 1998. Malheur River Bull Trout Population Status: 1998. ODFW Special Report, Southeastern Fisheries District, Ontario, Oregon.
- Peterson, G. and C. Sorg. 1987. Toward the Measurement of Total Economic Value. Fort Collins, Colorado: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station.
- Powell, D. 1999. Suggested Stocking Levels for Forest Stands in Northeastern Oregon and Southeastern Washington: An Implementation Guide for the Umatilla National Forest. General Technical Report, F14-SO-TP-03-99. Pendleton, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Umatilla National Forest.
- Quigley, T.M. and S.J. Arbelbide, eds. Assessment of Ecosystem Components in the Interior Columbia Basin and Portions of the Klamath and Great Basins. Volume IV. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station.
- Raettig, T.L. 1999. Trends in Key Economic and Social Indicators for Pacific Northwest States and Counties. PNW-GTR-474. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.
- Randall, A. 1992. A Total Value Framework for Benefit Estimation. In Peterson, G.L., C.S. Swanson, D.W. McCollum and M.H. Thomas eds. Valuing Wildlife Resources in Alaska. Boulder CO: Westview Press. pp. 87-111.
- Ratliff, D.E. and P.J. Howell. 1992. The Status of Bull Trout Populations in Oregon. In Howell, P.J. and D.V. Buchanan, eds. Proceedings of the Gearhart Mountain Bull

- Trout Workshop. Oregon Chapter of the American Fisheries Society, Corvallis, Oregon. pp. 10-17.
- Reiman, B.E., and J.D. McIntyre. 1993. Demographic and Habitat Requirements for Conservation of Bull Trout. General Technical Report, INT-302. Boise, Idaho: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station.
- Reyna, N.E., R.H. Phillips, G.W. Williams. 1998. Economic and Social Conditions of Communities: Economic and Social Characteristics of Interior Columbia Basin Communities and an Estimation of Effects on Communities from the Alternatives of the Eastside and Upper Columbia River Basin Draft Environmental Impact Statements. Walla Walla, Washington: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, and United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project.
- Rinne, J.N. 1996. Short-Term Effects of Wildfire on Fishes and Aquatic Macroinvertebrates: Southwestern United States. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management* 16:653-658.
- Rose, C. L., B. Marcot, K. Mellen, J. Ohmann, K. Waddell, D. Lindley and B. Schreiber. 2001. Decaying Wood in Pacific Northwest Forests: Concepts and Tools for Habitat Management in Wildlife-Habitat Relationships in Oregon and Washington. 736 pp.
- Rosgen, D. 1996. Applied River Morphology. Wildland Hydrology, Pagosa Springs, CO.
- Rothermel, R.C. 1983. How to Predict the Spread and Intensity of Forest and Range Fires. General Technical Report, INT-143. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service: Ogden, Utah, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. 161 p.
- Rudzitis, G., C. Watrous and H. Johansen. 1995. Public Views on Public Lands: A Survey of Interior Columbia River Basin Residents. The Migration, Regional Development, and Changing American West Project. Moscow, Idaho: University of Idaho.
- Ruggiero, Leonard F., K.G. Aubry, S.W. Buskirk, L.J. Lyon, and W.J. Zielinski. 1994. The Scientific Basis for Conserving Forest Carnivores: American Marten, Fisher, Lynx, and Wolverine in the Western United States. General Technical Report, RM-254. U.S. Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experimental Station.
- Sanders, K.D. 2000. [Unpublished report]. How Long Should Rangelands be Rested from Livestock Grazing Following Fire: A Viewpoint. Moscow, Idaho: University of Idaho.
- Schlosser, W.E. and K.A. Blatner. 1994. Special Forest Products: An Eastside Perspective. Prepared for Eastside Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team.
- Schmitt, C.L., L.H. Spiegel. 2002. Technical Assistance: Flagtail Fire Tree Recovery Potential Review. Code 3420. John Day, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Malheur National Forest.
- Scott, D., C.L. Schmitt, L.H. Spiegel. 2002. Factors Affecting Survival of Fire Injured Trees: A Rating System for Determining Relative Probability of Survival of Conifers in the Blue and Wallowa Mountains. BMPMSC-03-01. LaGrande, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Lab.

- Scott, D., Szymoniak and Rockwell. 1996. Entomological Concerns Regarding Burn Characteristics and Fire Effects on Tree Species During Prescribed Landscape Burns: Burn Severity Guidelines and Mitigation Measures to Minimize Fire Injuries. BMZ-97-1.
- Shepard, B., K.L. Pratt and P. Graham. 1984. Life History of Westslope Cutthroat and Bull Trout in the Upper Flathead River Basin, Montana. Kalispell, Montana: Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks.
- Skinner, C.E., J.J. Thatcher, and M.K. Davis. 1997. X-Ray Fluorescence Analysis and Obsidian Hydration Rim Measurement of Artifact Obsidian from 35-DS-193 and 35-DS-201, Surveyor Fire Rehabilitation Project, Deschutes National Forest, Oregon. Report 1996-33 prepared for the Deschutes National Forest, Bend, Oregon, by Northwest Research Obsidian Studies Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon.
- Society of American Foresters. 1989. Community Stability. Bethesda, Maryland: Society of American Foresters Resource Policy Series. 42. pp.
- Soucie, M. 1990-1998. Burns Paiute Tribe [Personal communication]. On file in the administrative record.
- Southwick and Associates. Undated. Value of Roadless Areas.
- Steel, B.S., P. List and B. Shindler. 1994. Conflicting Values About Federal Forests: A Comparison of National and Oregon Publics. *Society and Natural Resources* 7: 137-153.
- Steffen, Anastasia. 2002. The Dome Fire Pilot Project: Extreme Obsidian Fire Effects in the Jemez Mountains. In J. Loyd, T. Origer and D. Fredrickson, eds. *The Effect of Fire and Heat on Obsidian*.
- Suphan, R. J. 1974. Ethnological Report on the Umatilla, Walla Walla, and Cayuse Indians. In *Oregon Indians II*. Garland, New York, and London. pp. 88-182.
- Swanson, C. and J.B. Loomis. 1996. Role of Nonmarket Economic Values in Benefit-Cost Analysis of Public Forest Management. General Technical Report, PNW-GTR-361. Portland, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station.
- Talberth J. and K. Moskowitz. 1999. *The Economic Case against National Forest Logging*. Santa Fe, New Mexico: National Forest Alliance.
- Thiesfield, S.L., A.M. Stuart, D.E. Ratliff and B.D. Lampman. 1996. Migration patterns of adult bull trout in the Metolius River and Lake Billy Chinook, Oregon. Informational Report. Portland, Oregon: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.
- Thomas, S. 1991. Malheur National Forest Cultural Resource Inventory Plan. John Day, Oregon: United States Department of Agriculture, Malheur National Forest.
- Traylor, D., L. Hubbell, N. Wood, and B. Fiedler. Southwest Cultural Resources Center Professional Paper No. 28. Santa Fe, New Mexico: United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service. pp. 174-180.
- Trembour, F.N. 1990. Appendix F: A Hydration Study of Obsidian Artifacts, Burnt vs. Unburnt by the La Mesa Forest Fire. In the 1977 La Mesa Fire Study: Investigation of Fire and Fire Suppression Impacts on Cultural Resources in Bandelier National Monument.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1967. Fish Habitat Management Plan: Prairie City, Oregon: Malheur National Forest
- USDA, Forest Service. 1988. Economic and Social Analysis Handbook, FSH 1909.17. Washington, D.C.

- USDA, Forest Service. 1990. Final Environmental Impact Statement, Land and Resource Management Plan, Appendices-Volume 1, Malheur National Forest; Malheur Forest Plan as amended by the Regional Forester's Amendment No. 2 (June 1995).
- USDA, Forest Service. 1990. Final Environmental Impact Statement, Land and Resource Management Plan, Appendices-Volume 1, Umatilla National Forest.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1990. Final Environmental Impact Statement, Land and Resource Management Plan, Appendices-Volume 1, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1990. Recreation Opportunity Spectrum Primer and Field Guide.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1990. Timber Sale Preparation Handbook, FSH 2409.18. Washington, D.C.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1990. Malheur National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1993. FEMAT Report, Forest Ecosystem Management: Ecological, Economic and Social Assessment. Portland, Oregon.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1993. Social Impact Analysis Course 1900-3. Washington, D.C.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1996. Integrated Scientific Assessment for Ecosystem Management in the Interior Columbia Basin and Portions of the Klamath and Great Basins. Portland, Oregon: Pacific Northwest Research Station.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1997. Monitoring and Evaluation Report, Fiscal Year 1996, Umatilla National Forest, Forest Plan.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1998. 1997 Monitoring and Evaluation Report for the National Forests of the Blue Mountains, Malheur, Umatilla, and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1998. Economic and Social Conditions of Communities: Economic and Social Characteristics of Interior Columbia Basin Communities and an Estimation of Effects on Communities from the Alternatives of the Eastside and Upper Columbia River Basin Draft Environmental Impact Statements.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1999. A Social Assessment for the Proposed Mill Creek Timber Sales and Related Projects. Umpqua National Forest.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1999. Demographics and Natural Resources. Washington, D.C.: Programs and Legislation.
- USDA, Forest Service. 1999. Regional Forester's Sensitive Plant List. Portland, Oregon: Pacific Northwest Region.
- USDA, Forest Service. 2000. Socioeconomic Specialist Report, Final Environmental Impact Statement, Roadless Area Conservation. Washington D.C.
- USDA, Forest Service. 2000. Strategic Plan. Washington, D.C.
- USDA, Forest Service. 2001. Contract Summary for Malheur National Forest FY 2000. John Day, Oregon: Malheur National Forest.
- USDA, Forest Service. 2001. Employment, Income, Program Level Account Timber Sale Program (TSPIRS).
- USDA, Forest Service. 2001. Malheur National Forest Local Contractor Economic Overview 1998-2000 (Grant & Harney Counties). John Day, Oregon: Malheur National Forest.
- USDA, Forest Service. 2001. Restoration Strategy. LaGrande, Oregon: Blue Mountains Demonstration Area.

- USDA, Forest Service. 2002. Blue Mountains Demonstration Area. Assessment of Timber Availability from Forest Restoration within the Blue Mountains of Oregon. 25 pp.
- USDA, Forest Service. 2002. Malheur National Forest BAER team specialist report: soils, hydrology, and fisheries. Malheur National Forest, John Day, OR. [www.fs.fed.us/bluemountains/docs/veg-assess/vegetative-assessment-results.pdf](http://www.fs.fed.us/bluemountains/docs/veg-assess/vegetative-assessment-results.pdf)
- USDA, Forest Service. 2002. Final Report, Land Management Recommendations Related to the Value of Low Road Density Areas in the Conservation of Listed Salmon, Steelhead, and Bull Trout and the FS Memorandum, Road Density Analysis Team Final Report, April, 3, 2002.
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau. 2001. Profiles of General Demographic Characteristics 2000. Economic and Statistics Administration.
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau. 2003. [www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov)
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Census Bureau. 2003. American Fact Finder [Electronic Database]. [www.factfinder.census.gov](http://www.factfinder.census.gov)
- Volk, T. 1991. Commercial Picking in Eastern Oregon. Mushroom: The Journal of Wild Mushrooming 34: 10 (1): 19.
- Wallowa-Whitman National Forest. 2003. Draft Environmental Impact Statement Monument Fire Recovery Project.
- Walstad, J.D., S.R. Radosevich and D.V. Sandberg. 1990. Natural and Prescribed Fire in Pacific Northwest Forests. OSU Press: 27.
- Ward, F.R., G.J. Lettman and B.A. Hiserote. 2000. Oregon's Forest Products Industry: 1998. Portland, Oregon: Oregon Department of Forestry and United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station.
- Weaver, T.M. and R.G. White. 1985. Coal Creek fisheries monitoring study No. III. Quarterly progress report. Montana State Cooperative Fisheries Research Unit, Bozeman, Montana.
- Weigand, J.F., E. Jones and R. McLain. 1999. [Unpublished draft]. U.S. Non-Timber Forest Products Assessment: Introduction/Assessment Themes. Draft. [www.ifcae.org/ntfp/publications/assessments/introductions.html](http://www.ifcae.org/ntfp/publications/assessments/introductions.html)
- Wilde, J.D. 1985. Prehistoric Settlements in the Northern Great Basin: Excavations and Collections Analysis in the Steens Mountain Area, Southeastern Oregon. Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Anthropology, University of Oregon.
- Williams, D.R.; and S.I. Stewart. 1998. Sense of Place, An Elusive Concept that is Finding a Home in Ecosystem Management. Journal of Forestry 98 (5): 18-23.
- Yohannan, J. 2001. Grant County Economic Overview, presentation to Oregon Rural Development Council. LaGrande, Oregon: Oregon Department of Employment.

## References Analyzed

- Amaranthus, M.P., D.S. Parris and D.A. Perry. 1989. Decaying Logs as Moisture Reservoirs after Drought and Wildfire. Proceedings from Watershed 1989: A Conference on the Stewardship of Soil, Air and Water Resources. U.S. Department of Agriculture: Forest Service, Alaska Region.
- Belksky, A.J and J. Gelbard. 2000. Livestock Grazing and Weed Invasions in the Arid West. Oregon Natural Desert Association.

- Beschta, R. L. and others. 1995, Cumulative effects of forest practices in Oregon: literature and synthesis, Report to the Oregon Department of Forestry, Salem, Oregon.
- Beschta, R.L., J.R. Karr, C.Frissell, and others. 2002. [unpublished] Letter to the US House of Representatives: Subcommittee on Forests and Forest Health. July 3.
- Biological Opinion for Effects of Malheur National Forest's 2001 Grazing Management Program on Bull Trout. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- Bull, E. and A. Blumton. 1999. Effects of Fuels Reduction on American Martens and their Prey. General Technical Report, PNW-RN-539. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service,
- Duncan, S. 1999. Dead and Dying Trees: Essential for Life in the Forest. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station.
- Franklin, J.F. and others. 1981. Ecological Characteristics of Old-Growth Douglas-Fir Forests. General Technical Report, PNW-GTR-118. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.
- Henjum, M.G, D.L. Karr, D.A. Bottom, J.C. Perry, S.G. Bedarz, S.A. Wright and E. Beckwitt. 1994. Interim Protection for Late-Successional Forests, Fisheries, and Watersheds: National Forests East of the Cascade Crest, Oregon and Washington. A Report to the Congress and President of the United States.
- Ingham, E. The Soil Foodweb: It's Importance in Ecosystem Health. <http://www.rain.org/~sals/ingham.html>
- Johnson, C.G. 1994. Forest Health in the Blue Mountains: A Plant Ecologist's Perspective on Ecosystem Processes and Biological Diversity. General Technical Report, PNW-GTR-339. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.
- Johnson, D.H. and T.A. O'Neil. 2001. Wildlife-Habitat Relationships in Oregon and Washington. Corvallis, Oregon: Oregon State University Press.
- Kellog, L., H.S. Han, J. Mayo and J. Cissel. Residual Stand Damage from Thinning-Young Stand Diversity Study. Cascade Center for Ecosystem Management.
- Kim, H.M. 2001. Chopping Down the Birds: Logging and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. Environmental Law. 31.
- Langston, N. 1995. Forest Dreams, Forest Nightmares: The Paradox of Old Growth in the Inland West. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Ohmann, J.L. and K.L. Waddell. 2002. Regional Patterns of Dead Wood in Forested Habitats of Oregon and Washington. General Technical Report, PSW-GTR-181. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service
- Rhodes, J.J., D.A. McCullough and F.A. Espinosa. 1994. A Coarse Screening Process for Potential Application in ESA consultations. General Technical Report 94-4. Prepared for National Marine Fisheries Service.
- Riggs. 2000. Modification of Mixed Conifer Forests by Ruminant Herbivores in the Blue Mountain Ecological Province. General Technical Report, PNW-RP-527. United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service.
- Riverwind, A. [unpublished] Minimum Mandatory Guidelines for all Projects Purporting to be Restoration, Forest Health, and/or Recovery Projects with Interior Pacific Northwest Forest. <http://www.or.blm.gov/Prineville/LCM/alternatives/Web%20-%20Alternative%20B.pdf>.
- Rose, C.L., B.G. Marcot, T.K. Mellen, J.L. Ohmann, K.L. Waddell, D.L. Lindely and B. Schriber. 2001. In D.H. Johnson and O'Neil, managing directors, Wildlife Habitat Relationships in Oregon and Washington. Decaying Wood in Pacific Northwest

- Forests: Concepts and Tools for Habitat Management. Regon State University Press: Corvallis, Oregon. pp580-623.
- Rothermel, R.C.1991. Predicting behavior and size of crown fires in the northern Rocky Mountains. USDA Forest Service, Research Paper. INT-438.
- USDA, Forest Service. 2002. Final Report, Land Management Recommendations Related to the Value of Low Road Density Areas in the Conservation of Listed Salmon, Steelhead, and Bull Trout and the FS Memorandum, Road Density Analysis Team Final Report, April, 3, 2002.
- USDA, Forest Service. 2000. Final Environmental Impact Statement, Forest Service Roadless Area Conservation, Washington DC: Washington Office..
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2001. Management of Canada Lynx in the Cascades Ceopgraphic Areas of Oregon and Washington. White Paper prepared by the Offices of Region U.S. Fish and Wildlife.
- Weikel, J.M. and J.P. Hayes. 2001. Habitat Use by Snag-Associated Species: A Bibliography for Species Occuring in Oregon and Washington. Research Contribution 33, Forest Research Laboratory, Corvallis, Oregon: Oregon State University.

## INDEX

- 303, 22, 128, 132, 151, 183
- amendment, 19, 20, 39, 41, 43, 45
- Analysis Area, 26
- Base Flows*, 155
- biophysical environment, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 76
- Biophysical Environments, 64, 67
- black-backed woodpecker, 43
- bull trout, 22, 128, 131, 151, 158, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 175, 176, 177, 179, 180, 181, 183, 316, 318, 319, 320, 322, 323, 324
- Burn Severity Rating**, 73
- cattle grazing, 64, 289
- dead and dying, 6, 12, 13, 16, 25, 35, 36, 39, 40, 42, 262
- decommissioning, 7, 11, 12, 17, 18, 24, 35, 37, 38, 40, 43, 48, 56, 107, 160, 161, 162, 163, 165, 170, 171, 172, 173, 175, 179, 180, 181, 184, 263, 267, 268, 269, 278, 281, 282, 283, 284, 287, 292
- Dedicated Old Growth, 6, 10, 13, 19, 33, 36, 38, 41, 43, 45, 246
- economics, 21, 39, 273, 282
- Economics**, 13, 23, 30, 35, 36, 39, 42, 44, 300, 320, 321
- Fire, 106
- fire suppression, 4, 9, 35, 64, 66, 84, 86, 92, 93, 105, 107, 242, 258, 261, 287, 288
- Firewood, 34, 51
- Fisheries, 301
- Forest Plan, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 31, 32, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 43, 45, 47, 49, 50, 51, 63, 82, 83, 84, 97, 105, 108, 128, 129, 130, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 141, 146, 147, 149, 156, 158, 159, 160, 169, 170, 179, 181, 183, 210, 211, 215, 216, 219, 220, 221, 225, 227, 245, 247, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 257, 258, 259, 270, 282, 285, 286, 288, 290, 308, 309, 325
- Gopher*, 81
- grazing, 7, 20, 25, 34, 35, 149, 155, 157, 308, 309, 323
- helicopter, 12, 15, 16, 34, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 49, 144, 155, 290
- logging, 11, 12, 22, 24, 25, 34, 39, 42, 47, 48, 49, 52, 53, 144, 148, 156, 286, 287, 289, 290, 291, 315
- lynx, 24
- management requirements, 27
- neotropical migratory bird, 24
- noxious weeds, 17, 25, 52, 55, 106, 289, 311
- obliteration, 18, 35, 37, 38, 40, 42, 44, 48, 145, 150, 160, 170, 292
- Peak Flows*, 154
- Pileated Woodpecker, 19, 36, 37, 40, 41, 42, 45, 246
- Planting, 5, 12, 57
- primary cavity excavator, 39, 41
- recreation, 28
- reforestation, 14, 17, 24, 35, 36, 37, 40, 42, 213, 288, 289, 311
- Reforestation, 10, 17, 24, 38, 40, 43, 45, 56, 57, 289, 311
- Replacement Old Growth, 13, 19, 33, 36, 246
- resiliency, 6, 23, 26, 36, 41, 42, 74, 75, 76, 82, 83, 93, 211, 228, 251, 272, 309, 311
- RHCA, 6, 8, 14, 15, 18, 22, 36, 37, 38, 40, 42, 48, 49, 56, 57, 59, 131, 150, 152, 311
- roadless, 25, 219, 229, 285, 290
- roads, 106, 250, 285
- salvage, 4, 6, 13, 14, 16, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 34, 35, 36, 37, 39, 41, 42, 44, 50, 58, 136, 138, 144, 145, 146, 149, 150, 160, 161, 163, 167, 168, 170, 171, 173, 177, 178, 181, 212, 286, 289, 290, 291, 315
- sedimentation, 11, 39, 147, 280, 283, 284, 288

- skid trail, 11, 18, 37, 38, 40, 42, 44, 47, 48, 156
- snag habitat, 22, 39, 41, 42, 43, 51, 242, 252, 291
- snags, 191, 193, 197, 212, 213
- soil erosion, 23, 141, 144
- soils, 301
- timber, 5, 6, 7, 12, 15, 23, 26, 27, 28, 36, 39, 40, 42, 44, 47, 52, 53, 143, 153, 156, 258, 285, 288, 290, 299, 300, 308
- water quality, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 17, 23, 25, 27, 33, 39, 81, 128, 129, 130, 134, 152, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 179, 180, 181, 183, 184, 279, 285, 288, 290, 308, 311, 318
- Whitman, 2, 4, 34, 69, 108, 211, 212, 254, 264, 315, 320, 325
- Whitman Unit.**, 4