

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393
Title II Project Submission Form
**Northeast Oregon Forests Resource Advisory
Committee**

1. Project Number (Assigned by Designated Federal Official): UN-WAW05-006

2. Project Name: Fly Creek Stream Restoration	3. County: Union
4. Project Sponsor: Paul Boehne	5. Date: December 3, 2003
6. Sponsor's Phone Number: 541-962-8521	
7. Sponsors E-mail: pboehne@fs.fed.us	

8. Project Location (attach project area map)	
a. 4 th Field Watershed Name and HUC #: Upper Grande Ronde - 17060104	
b. 5 th Field Watershed Name and HUC # (if known): Upper Grande Ronde – 17060104-85	
c. Location: Township <u>4 S.</u> Range <u>35 E.</u> Section(s) <u>27,34</u> Township <u>5 S.</u> Range <u>35 E</u> Section(s) <u>4, 8, 17</u> Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____	
d. BLM District	e. BLM Resource Area
f. National Forest Wallowa -Whitman	g. Forest Service District La Grande
h. State / Private / Other lands involved? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives: (max. 7 lines) The objective of this project is to increase the stream habitat complexity to provide pool habitat for rearing spring/summer Chinook salmon and spawning and rearing habitat for Snake River steelehead.

10. Project Description: (max. 30 lines.) Fly Creek was the site of BPA funded instream restoration in 1987. The whole tree structures that were placed were configured perpendicular to the stream flow. While this project was successful at increasing the amount of large wood in the high flow channel the placement and anchoring caused the stream to undercut the wood and cut around the ends of the wood into the stream banks. The project did not met its objective of increasing habitat for salmonids. It is proposed to reconfigure the existing wood into large woody debris complexes of four to five pieces at hydrologically appropriate sites in the channel. This will be done by using an excavator. The woody debris complexes will provide habitat for fish and sort bedload for spawning habitat.
--

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, then describe (max. 10 lines)
no projects related to this one currently

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:	

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]	
a. Total Acres:	b. Total Miles: 5 miles
c. No. Structures: 50	d. Est. People Reached
e. No. Laborer Days:	(for environmental education projects):
f. Other (specify):	

15. Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203(b)(2)] October 2005

16. Target Species Benefited: (if applicable) (max. 7 lines)

This project will improve habitat for Spring/summer chinook salmon, Snake River Steelhead and redband trout.

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)] (max. 12 lines)

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities.** (max. 12 lines)

This project will be contracted with local contractors.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources? (max. 12 lines)

This project will contribute to the recovery of habitat for federally listed Snake River spring/summer Chinook salmon and Steelhead

20. Status of Project Planning			
a. NEPA Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If no, give est. date of completion: September 2004			
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer			

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment (<i>check those that apply</i>)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal Workforce
<input type="checkbox"/> County Workforce	<input type="checkbox"/> Volunteers
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):	

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)]

Yes No

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]	
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 10,000	
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, then display by fiscal year	
c. FY02 Request:	f. FY05 Request: \$10,000
d. FY03 Request:	g. FY06 Request:
e. FY04 Request:	

Table 1. Project Cost Analysis

Item	<i>Column A</i> Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column B</i> Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column C</i> Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column D</i> Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys	\$2,100			\$2,100
25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation	\$1,375			\$1,375
26. Permit Acquisition	\$2,100			\$2,100
27. Project Design & Engineering	\$2,750			\$2,750
28. Contract Preparation	\$1,050			\$1,050
29. Contract Administration	\$5,500			\$5,500
30. Contract Cost		\$10,000	\$5,000	\$15,000
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring	\$4,850			\$4,850
34. Other (i.e. Section 106 Compliance)				
35. Project Sub-Total	\$19,725	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$34,725
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead @ 8%) (per year for multi-year projects)	\$1,578	\$800	\$400	\$2,778
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$21,303	\$10,800	\$5,400	\$37,503

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)] (max. 7 lines)
 OWEB funds and GRMWP (BPA) funds will be applied for during 2005.

39. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

- a. **What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] (max. 7 lines)
Who is responsible for this monitoring item?: _____
- b. **How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] (max. 7 lines)
Who is responsible for this monitoring item?: _____
- c. **What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act?** [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] (max. 7 lines)
Who is responsible for this monitoring item?: _____
- d. **Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)**
(max. 7 lines)
Amount **\$4,850**

Project Name:

County Court Concurrence

(Majority Required per charter)

A majority of the county commissioners of Grant County have reviewed this proposed Public Law 106-393 project for the Grant County Advisory Council and agree with the proposal as submitted, except for the comments noted below:

Attested by Grant County Judge

Date

Priority Rating:

High Medium Low

Comments/Rational: